

Mayor's Office of Contract Services Contract Performance Evaluation

HUMAN SERVICES

Vendor Name:	BUSHWICK UNITED HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND CORP		
Vendor TIN/EIN:	112504368		
Vendor Address:	136 STANHOPE STREET		
	BROOKLYN, NY 11221 US		
Vendor E-Mail Address:	bushunited@aol.com		
Vendor Updated Mailing Ad	ldress:		
Contract Number:	CT1 068 20131406838		
Procurement Identification Number:	06811P0012030		
Contract Term:	10/01/2012 - 09/30/2016		
Contract Description:	EarlyLearn Services		
Award Amount:	\$34,906,128.66		
Evaluating Agency:	ADMIN FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES		
Evaluation Period:	10/01/2012 - 09/30/2013		
Evaluator First Name:	Debra Evaluator Last Name: Cloud-Marcus		
Evaluator Phone Number:	(212) 393-5172		
Evaluator E-Mail Address:	Jean.Sheil@acs.nyc.gov		
Comments Section.) 1. Was the contract co 2. If services are ongo 3. Is the vendor timely	cluators are to consider the following criteria when rating timeliness; discuss specifics in the impleted on time; ing, is the vendor performing services timely and in compliance with contract terms; submitting deliverables and reports in compliance with contract terms; and iven any extensions of time, were any such extensions reasonable?		
Comments:			
This rating is a weighted sco	ore between Program (80%) and Fiscal (20%). The contractor submitted the assessment by the		

prescribed deadline of 4/30/13 established by ACS Early Care & Education. The contractor submitted required fiscal				
documents within the established deadlines.				
Subcategory Rating Unsatisfactory Poor Fair Good Excellent				
 II. FISCAL ADMINISTRATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY (Evaluators are to consider the following criteria when rating Fiscal Administration and Accountability; discuss specifics in the Comments section.) 1. Did the vendor maintain adequate records and logs, and did it submit accurate, complete and timely payment requisitions, fiscal reports and invoices, timesheets and other required daily and periodic record submissions (as applicable); 2. Was a fiscal audit performed for this Contract during the Contract term and if so, were any major fiscal deficiencies identified; and 3. Did the vendor and any/all subcontractors comply with applicable Living Wage requirements, if any? 				
Comments:				
Based on required Financial Documentation provided by the agency, ACS has assessed Bushwick United Housing Development Fund Corp. in the areas of Budget and Financial Management and has determined a rating of Excellent.				
Subcategory Rating Unsatisfactory Poor Fair Good Excellent				
III. PERFORMANCE QUALITY (Evaluators are to consider the following criteria when rating Performance Quality; discuss specifics in the Comments section.) 1. Did the vendor adequately fulfill the scope of services required by the contract; 2. Did the vendor achieve the levels of service required by the contract, including any applicable performance measures/ milestones? 3. Did the vendor adequately and appropriately staff the program and maintain staff continuity; 4. Adequacy of program procedures and methods; 5. Adequacy of record keeping and reporting on program/service delivery activities; 6. Adequacy of the physical environment and equipment; 7. If relevant, did the vendor adhere to target populations and target areas; 8. Did the vendor conduct the requisite number of site visits and were any programmatic deficiencies identified during the contract period; 9. Cooperation with agency/responsiveness to agency orders; 10. Did the agency terminate the contract, decide not to renew the contract or take any other action against the vendor due to the vendor's non-performance or poor performance? Comments:				
The overall assessment score for program performance quality is Excellent. The rating of Excellent falls within the range of 4.5 - 5.00. This rating is based on the Early Childhood Environmental Rating Scale - Revised Assessment scores and other applicable regulatory mandates. This Agency was assessed in the areas of Program Design & Management, Early Childhood Education & Health, Family & Community Partnerships and Enrollment.				
Subcategory Rating Unsatisfactory Poor Fair Good Excellent				
Overall Rating (Based on the above three subcategory ratings, evaluators are to give the vendor an overall rating.)				
Overall Rating Unsatisfactory Poor Fair Good Excellent				

Version 3.5 Page 2 of 3

e foregoing evaluation represents my best judgment concerning the perfo city Agency.	rmance of the contractor and is based on documentation on file
raluated By: Debra Cloud-Marcus	Evaluation Date: 05/30/2014
or Evaluator Use Only	
Upon completing the PE, use the Check Errors button to validate the docucompleted evaluation to ACCO/DACCO/Designated Contact. To do so, sautomatically save as an Adobe PDF. Send an email through outlook, wit Designated Contact.	ave the completed evaluation to your computer. It will
or ACCO Use Only	
Once the completed evaluation is approved and ready to send to MOCS, or 'Validate and Lock" button below. Once locked, the form cannot be modicreated (from scratch). Save the Adobe PDF to your computer. Navigate to VENDEX to upload the locked evaluation and send to MOCS.	fiedif modification is necessary, a new document must be
proved By	
me: Jean Sheil Title: Assistant DACO	Date: 06/02/2014
This form was locked on Mon Jun 02 2014 12:23	:26 GMT-0400 (Eastern Daylight Time)

Page 3 of 3